Madurai Dist records
S.No. 9018 G.No. 30148

மதுரை மாவட்ட ஆட்சியர் ஆவணம்

Volume no. 9018.

General no. 30148

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர் : ச. மாரிமுத்து

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுக்கக்-
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் : 12/02

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் : 1/03

	6/3/2003	2/4/03
சரிபார்க்கும் முதல் மு.பா.எ. கையொப்பம்	சரிபார்க்கும் இரண்டாம் மு.பா.எ. கையொப்பம்	ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

No	To whom sent	Substance
		will not act himself nor allow one to take measures for the tranquillity of the border: supporting his non-interference & resistance to my interference on the Minutes of Consultation of 22nd May 1835.
"	Sub Collector of Madura	Forwarding a letter of the Board of Revenue regarding the dismissal of the Nattamgar of Eppillapar (Enclosure Nil).
86.	Secretary to the Board of Revenue P. W. D	Submitting proposal to build a chattram at an expense of about 1000 Rupees at Koothampoondi on road No 1 with a view to future sanction of Rs 60 per annum for its support
41	British Resident Tanjore.	Forwarding copy of an address from the Tahsildar of Marungapuri stating that the ryots of Paduckottah have no right of interference with the land.
42	Secretary to the Board of Revenue	Reporting the circumstances under which the village of Tookal held by petitioners in No 477 of 1841 was resumed by the late Zemindar.
170	Collector of Tanjore	Informing with reference to a water dispute case between the ryots of Saingamam in Tanjore and Kovenekedangu in Madura that the Madura Maistry is far

Vol no. 9518 Uppanarville
Gpo 20148

Date	No.	To whom sent.	Substance
			off from the place of dispute and requesting the Collector of Tanjore to have a personal inspection of the spot and to communicate the result
31/5	49	Secretary to the Board of Revenue	Requesting authority for granting land assessed at Rs 7-6-7 per annum to the Thirudal Mirasee of Malampatty to make up the amount of land Manipams to which he is entitled.
4/6	120	Do. P.W.D	Reporting on the Completion of the Chattram at Chathrapatty by the Mittadar of Vailore and requesting permission for the appropriation of waste land to the value of Rs 60. per annum, for its support.

1841 (Vol. II)

List of Retainable papers for 1841.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
July	56	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Forwarding a statement of original endowments to Pagodas and chuttrums on assumption of the country by the Company, their revenues in fuslies 1211 and 1249 and the amount of ready money &c annually assigned for their support [not found in the file]
Do	59	Do Do	Stating in reference to an extract from the Board's Procgs of the 24th ultimo that he can take no further steps regarding the transfer of the charge of religious funds and institutions till the Board communicate to him their wishes on the doubts submitted in his letter of the 3d instt. [not found in the file]

List of Retainable papers for 1841.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
2d July	60	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Submitting a revised moyenzabeta of his establishment from the commencement of fusli 1251 in accordance to their instruction of the 20th May 1819 to keep it within the lowest possible bounds.
1st do	63	Do — Do	Stating with reference to the Board's Procgs of the 17th ultimo that he does not think that the Substitution of Indian maund for the farce measure will in any way cause an increase or decrease in the Sale of Salt
do	64	Do — Do	Stating with reference to Petition No 488 of 1841 that the dispute is regarding the maunium land therein referred

List of Retainable papers for 1841.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

3

7

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			referred to has been amicably settled
31st July	66	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Reporting upon Petition No 348 of 1841, claiming exemption of Swami Bogum on certain land held by Petitioner
9th Aug	69	Do — Do	Stating that full effect was given to the circular order of the 21st May 1836 for non-itiration of coolies to draw cars and that the abolition of that order by the Court of Directors was communicated to the Board already and requesting instructions if the whole revenue of the lands originally granted to Pagodas is to be restored or only the portion of money substituted in lieu by the Mussulman Govt and confirmed by Govt. [not found in the file]
—			

List of Retainable papers for 1841.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
21st Aug	71	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Recommending for sanction a further grant of $9\frac{1\frac{3}{4}}{16}$ cawnies of Punjah to the Thoti of Vikramangalam village in Tirumangalam Tq and of $9\frac{15\frac{3}{4}}{16}$ cawnies of Punjah for the madien or water distributor of the village.
7th Sepr	26	Secy to Govt. Political Deptt. Fort St George	Returning Petition of ex Coimaurusawmi naick to the Ceylon Govt. together with the ~~council~~ order of the council of Fort St George and recommending its rejection as fraudulent.
16th Do	309	Resident of Tanjore	Stating that the land in dispute between Pudukotah State and Palacurichi village in the Marungapury Tq is entered

Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
		in the Govt. accounts of fasli 1213 as appertaining to the above named village and has been in the actual enjoyment of the villagers ever since 1239 and respecting therefore if H. Ge[illegible] considered himself injured that the matter should be brought before to the notice of Govt.
76.	Sent to the Board of Revenue	Detailing objections to the prop[illegible] introduction of provisions of Act No IV of 1840 in force in Bengal fo[illegible] such parts of Regulation V of 182[illegible] of the Madras Code as regards the adjustment of disputes for poss[illegible] of land, water &c; also submitting return for [illegible] years of suits dispo[illegible] of under Section 2 Reg V of 1822

List of Retainable papers for 1841.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
23rd Sepr	79	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Forwarding a Statement of the different hands into which part of certain lands granted to the disbanded Sibbendy of Ramnad have passed by descent, sale and mortgage and requesting to know whether under the above transfers they are entitled to the same indulgences as the original grantees.
4th Octr	340	Actg Civil Engr	Requesting him to inspect the dam constructed across the Sattyar River under the Sanction of Govt. d/ 15th May 1840 for the benefit of the ryots of Cullanay and to favour him with his opinion how the

evil

List of Retainable papers for 1841.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			evil complained of by the inhabitants of Tundalay &c 15 villages to the east of Sellanay in that the dam being destructive to their irrigation if real, can be remedied.
5th Octr	83	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Submitting Statements in explanation of the native religious institutions of his Collectorate in which Govt. has any interference whatever and proposing with reference to the Board's instructions that he is ready to surrender all interference in those institutions
25th do	30	Chief Secy to Govt. Political Depth. Fort St George	Returning Petition No 501 of 1841 and showing under what circumstances No 6-9-7, are being paid

List of Retainable papers for 1841.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			to Rengasawami Rajah out of the ceylon pension to Subbuswami Rajah.
27th Octr	86	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Stating in reference to a Petition no 1139 of 1841 that a monthly pay of 5 chuckrams or Rs 10-10-8 was fixed for Petitioner's maintenance during life and that she refused to receive it claiming the whole of the allowance enjoyed by her late husband as manager of the affairs of the chuttram alluded to and to which she has no right. [Draft sent to A Collr for perusal 2nd July 1853]

List of Retainable papers for 1841. 6

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

13

ate.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
[illegible]	88	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Enclosing in reply to his circu[lar] of the 11th [illegible] copy of cowlenamah with translate passed to Palaniap[pa] Pillai of Seeungoody village in the zemindary of Cunniwaudy.
Nov	95	Do — Do	Reporting on the claim of the Zemindar of Voothapadnaickanu[r] to [illegible] assessment of $302\frac{13}{16}$ cawn[ies] of [illegible] land assigned to certain Cotear Cavilgars in fusli 1235 by Mr Peter.
Decr	416	Collr of Coimbatore	Requesting to release to the for[mer] occupants the free gift village of Erravarampatti and the maunium lands of Polliapollium in Caroo[r] appertaining to Thanappa Mudaliar

Hulla

List of Retainable papers for 1841.

Sent Letters. **Madura Collector's Office.**

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			Kuttai in the Meenatchi Pagoda
2nd Decr	417	Coltr of Tinnevelly	Requesting to release to the former occupant the land endowed for Thanappa Mudaliar's Kuttai in the Meenatchi Pagoda.
8th Do	427	Do of Tanjore	Forwarding copy of an address from the ameen of Amaravati Td which complains of the Surplus water of Eenjanayal village being allowed to pass into the Tanks of Saippavay in Puttucotai Td.
20th Do	103	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Recommending for Sanction the grant of Munjah Cawnies 3 4/10 taxed at Rs 19-13-4, to the Nottaper of Avaniapuram in Mandacolum Td in lieu of the nominal maunium held

List of Retainable papers for 1841.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			held by him since his services are indispensable.
21st Decr	450	Collr of Tanjore	Forwarding copy of an address from the manager of Ramnad in complaining of certain ryots in his collectorate having taken in possession of the irrigation channel of Velangathoor in Cotalpatnem &c.

To

The Collector of
Tinnevelly

Sir/

I have the honor to forward for your information an english copy of my Decision of this date in the case of the boundary in dispute between the villages of Maithalapatty & Pariplagordy and a Tamil copy for delivery to the defendants in that case; I take the opportunity also to inform you I have this day directed the removal of the two stones to be carried into effect by the Manager of Ramnad & request you will be so good as to cause any required aid to be afforded.

Madura
Poovandree
January 1841

J. Blackburne
Pl. Col.

9

[illegible]

Kenna Reith [illegible]

[illegible] Satoor [illegible]

R

Both [illegible] standing [illegible]

Camden [illegible] come & the adjacent land [illegible]

[illegible] these three tenures of the Collection [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] a Decision of the [illegible]

[illegible] come to an [illegible]

[illegible]

11th [illegible] in the [illegible]

[illegible]

... the ... in [illegible] ...
... Take this [illegible] ...
... following [illegible] bearing
the under signature of the above
... [illegible] ...
... Brookes [illegible] ...
[illegible]

Pltff's Documents

Cowle Pottah 7th May 1832
do 20 January 1835
do 29 July 1836

Receipt dated 30th Decr 1834 to Pltff's father
... a [illegible] for the purchase ...
... of ... Roundal [illegible] ...
... the [illegible] accounts of
[illegible] for the year Benga-
-lee [illegible] 1207 or A.D. 1798

... do produced by Pltff's [illegible]
... [illegible]
Two [illegible] of the [illegible] accounts
of the [illegible] ... at the [illegible]
... [illegible]
... [illegible]

Pandalugoody for fuslies 1239 - 44 - 47 -
49. -

Settlement of fusly 1233 Amounting 61
borrowers including that of Mollacom-
-bin is comprised in Pandalugoody

Pyrmash account of land cultivation
by Summeer Naick & Gonu Pathri

Pettay in fuslies 1213 - 79 - 47 & 48 -

Statement measurement account of
the Names of land occupier of
Pettah from fusly 1236 to 39 -

account of extra the Cultivation copy of
the advance or Deposit in fuslies
1246 & 47.

Statutes of the same deposited
in fuslies 1247 & 48

Defendants documents

Copy of a Cargo account of [illegible]
-patty for fusly 1213.

Sundry Settlement account for
fusly 1237

Amanty [illegible] Pettah for fusly 1247.

...emes: of Dollahs for the [illegible]
[illegible] Coy [illegible]

settlement
[illegible] account of the villages [illegible]
the [illegible] ~~Collectors~~ Talook office
[illegible] memo of the annual collection [illegible]
counts of the district [illegible]
[illegible] Rasiks Dollahs for [illegible]
1235 - 36 [illegible]
[illegible] accounts [illegible]
[illegible] the Mutta [illegible]
[illegible] accounts of [illegible] 1232, 42
[illegible] in proof of the enjoyment of
[illegible] Muttahs [illegible] by the inhabi-
tants of said village[illegible]
[illegible] the settlement of [illegible]
[illegible] 1244 -

~~3 [illegible]~~
[illegible] accounts for ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]
[illegible] Rasiks [illegible] in 1236.
[illegible] accounts of the [illegible]
[illegible] collection for [illegible] 1249 -

[illegible] of [illegible] 12[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1181 [illegible] A.D. 177[illegible]

Agreement given to Sonnigha Naick, [illegible]
=blager of Moottacadputty, by Chinnanni
Reddy Cumblager, & Others of Vaboydaipoorom
a hamlet of Paindelly [illegible] — dated 16th Cartys
Vibhava July 12 to [illegible] A.D. 1808.

[illegible] I mentioned Share of Mottum
[illegible] arrears
The Place of the land in dispute

Witnesses on both sides

Ramasamy Pangil Pillay. Tyagappa
Ramalingam Pillay — [illegible] of Paindelly [illegible]
Pamalon Reddy — Cumblager [illegible]
[illegible] Naick — [illegible] — [illegible]
=oodaiyan Servey — [illegible] of [illegible]
=vastavan Reddy [illegible] — Moothoosamy
Naick — Cumblager of do — Cundappa
=dy Andy Pillay — Ramasamy Reddy [illegible]
of [illegible] Reddy of Moottacadputty — [illegible]
=sa Naick — [illegible] — [illegible]
=vayen — [illegible] Reddy — Raju=
=ma Naick — [illegible] Tharaven —
~~Pillay~~
~~[illegible]~~ Reddy — Rama samy [illegible]
=lag Chinnanni of Moottacadputty —
[illegible] [illegible] Naick —

x. It appears from the [illegible] examination of

the [illegible] of the Increase in former

~~[illegible]~~ 3/4
16
to

the prices of Punjah land

and the Increase in disputes have been

[illegible] in the settlement of the [illegible]

Dalaganda village for the [illegible] years

from Fusly 1224 to 45 & [illegible]

[illegible] the daily [illegible]

[illegible] It further appears from

the [illegible] & Statement of the [illegible]

[illegible] that it has

[illegible] been [illegible] in [illegible] years

settlement account of [illegible]

which it has always been [illegible] as [illegible]

disputed [illegible] & [illegible] have been

collected – and [illegible] the [illegible] appears

that it is [illegible] in the [illegible] of

Zoddee Reddy, [illegible] the

fact, [illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible] that [illegible]

in the survey accounts of ...

it has been frequently & illegally ...

in portions of ... by the Sandusky ...

... ... to ...

Meadow ... ...

... ...

stated at 16 $\frac{1}{16}$ chains; but ...

... ...

at 40 $\frac{3}{8}$ chains, ~~...~~ was estimated

~~...~~ by the two ... ...

~~...~~ 68 $\frac{1}{16}$ chains.

The survey accounts ...

1713 for the Meadow ...

... describe it as lying ...

of the 13 boundary stones ...

... ... ~~...~~

Some 60 years back filed ...

... also shows that ...

... boundary of ...

[illegible] by [illegible] 3 pounds [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] further [illegible]

[illegible] in the year [illegible]

[illegible] 1797 [illegible] Deposit

[illegible] on the [illegible]

[illegible] in the [illegible] of [illegible]

[illegible] to [illegible]

[illegible] Deponent [illegible]

[illegible] the [illegible] that the [illegible]

[illegible] in the

[illegible] Deponent [illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible] that

[illegible] repeatedly [illegible]

[illegible] that it was included in

[illegible] of [illegible]

The [illegible]

[illegible] Deponent [illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible] 61 [illegible] of which

[illegible] and [illegible]

Wherever he succeed in ousting them by application to the Civil Court.

Madura }
Pooventhree } J Blackburne
9th January 1841 } Pl Coll

One English copy is sent this day for the information of the Collector of [illegible], one Tamil copy for delivery to the defendants; & one Tamil copy to be sent to the Manager of Ramnad to be by him [illegible] the plff.

Jany 9th 1841. J Blackburne
Pl Coll

No 23 -

The Collector of Tinnevelly

14

Sir

In reply to your letter of the 16th Inst I would beg leave to ask you if the ~~[illegible]~~ boundary dispute between the villages of [illegible] and ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] is not of the nature of those cognizable under Regn XII of 1816; & afterwards if under the circular of the 22 May 1835 the right of jurisdiction in these particular border [illegible] rests with me or not.

If you answer these in the affirmative I am of opinion you can only support your orders of the [illegible] by a suit in the Zillah Court; but that [illegible]

them my decision must be enforced.

If you deny the two first de=
=mands, I request you to
inform me if you claim the
right of jurisdiction on that land
& if so what steps you have taken
to prevent fights & bloodshed, &
what steps you mean to take
that I may, if possible, concur
in the same.

If there are errors & irregularities
in my orders on the subject, & sup=
=posing you admit my right of
jurisdiction, such irregularities
should not bar their enforcement
but you can if you please bring
them to the notice of higher au=
=thority.

J. B. Cochburn [illegible]
P.

[illegible]
18th Sept. 1841

[illegible]

No 18

15

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

R

31

Sir/

The disgraceful extremities to which neglected boundary disputes have led in this Zillah induces me to request you will bring to the special notice of the Board of Revenue the correspondence I had the honor to submit to them under date the 7th November last respecting the boundary in dispute between the villages of Sekkarn-goody in Tinnevelly & Poorany in this collectorate.

Madura
19 Jany 1841

J. Blackburne
Collr

No. 11 Cat.

Tithe B.d dati

19th Jany 1841.

16 K 33

Sir/

In returning Petn No. 1836 of 1840 bearing the Board's endorsement of the 4th and received by me on the 17th Instant, I have the honor to report that the opening of 206 cuts in a channel common to Petnr & to the Inhabitants of Pottam palley to the great detriment of the latter causes considerable animosities between them which it was hoped to appease by adopting the sug=

of whose 12 Augt 1839 gestion of the Civil Engineers of the Francis, to cut a new channel parallel to the one in dispute, on the owing to the equal division of the water, but the work was ~~[illegible]~~ inter=

Ext. C.C.
~~Sect.~~

of the late [illegible] in that [illegible] is
[in]vasible upon the civil officers, for
which offence the Joint Magistrate
fined four of the ring leaders 50
rupees each & 7 others 25 rupees each
In the subsequent more orderly
representation of the Settlement of
the injury they suffered from the
Civil Engineers decision the merits
of the case were taken into consi
deration and the Thunkuvai Tasil
=dar's Opinion that 14 new sluices
should be opened was submitted for
the opinion of the Civil Engineer,
and during the present vacancy
of that situation I ~~have~~ entered
it a few days ago in a list of
works to be particularly attended
to by Mr Civil Surveyor Munro who
is at the moment on duty in this
Collectorate. Other works of a similar [illegible]

-portance requires his more early attention but this will not be neglected in due time.

17

Non culture of part of Petitioners land is attributable to an insufficient supply of water in the tank; & I fear in great measure to ~~their~~ obstinacy on their own part under impression by this means to compel reversion to the former state of things to their benefit and their adversaries loss.

J W Blackburne
Pl Cor

Madura
19 January 1841

Recpt. Ind.
To the Bd. Dated
19th Jany 1781

No 15

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir/

1. From the 81st para: of [illegible] Collector Hurdis' report of the 27 January 18[illegible] it would appear the village of Ra[illegible]=m[illegible]jahpoorum, in the [illegible] of Madacolum was presented by the Nawab Wallajah in [illegible] 1165 [illegible] 1755/56) to [illegible] Bukshee the son of Jeevanjee Pundit ~~by him~~ was by him presented to the Meenatchee pagoda in the Fort of Madura as a perpetual endowment in the name of his father & is still styled Jeeva=jee Pundits [illegible], the [illegible] which [illegible] were ₹ 50[illegible] [illegible] ~~year~~, and ~~=~~ the remainde[illegible] years average not exceeding 5[illegible] the Mandump of
~~[illegible]~~ Rs 31. 13. 7 ~~was~~ Paga[illegible]

...for Court fees, was the
...ved by the Donor for his own main-
tenance. — I must here point out an
...in Mr Hardis report as
...from the Mountaineer
...others information that Jeiwajee Ram
...with the Bukshee ...
...from the Nawab & that
...at all — In the next
...in other parts of this report
Mr Hardis leans to the opinion that
...gifts to ... were never meant
...absorb the whole returns of the land
...that a portion was thus given
...probable means of securing
remainder from resumption ...
spoliation: — And in the 10th
...the Board's ... the 3
1803 the proposition to ...
them to the Incumbents on ...
rent, on condition of appropri-
...to the purposes for

which they were originally alienated from the Govt was approved. 37

2. On the demise of the original incumbent the village fell in heritage to his brother's son Gunday Rao who enjoyed it in the above terms till his death without male issue, about 20 years ago when it fell to his daughter's son Sackajee Rao [illegible] died in 1834 without any issue [illegible] has since been in possession of his widow, Durga Bhaie, till her demise on the 2nd Inst. In her will she bequested ~~specified~~ the continued enjoyment of the inam [illegible] with a trifling increase to extent of Rs 116. 10. 5. the annual payment of Rs 31. 13. 7 for the land fees; 60 Rs to her brother, [illegible] Pundit, for maintenance in [illegible]

...established in our name in the port of Madura.

2. In taking into consider... Dura... Pundit's application for effect to be given to the will, I am led to notice (tho' easily accounted for on supposition of concealment of the fact, with... ...a different view of Mussulman ...tende... neglect of Govt. to resume th... ...on the death of the ori... ...Juvajee Pundit; for ... of ... that the principle of all Mussulman alienations, like personal dis...tions, are for life unless under special exceptions; but here the ... must be ... remain in Madura are several instances of such special exceptions, & they extend to production in Hindu's as ... ...

an alienation in f[ee] ... [indivi]
-dual, apparently for services to th[e]
& by him a subsequent partic[ular do]
-nation to a religious institution
supposed view of thereby securing a
portion of the original alienation to the
original incumbents posterity, it has no-
-thing to deprive it of its appearance of
being simply a gift for life, & should there-
-fore have been long ago resumed. But
the long enjoyment by the original gran-
-tee & his descendants without any at-
-tempt of Govt. to resume its rights, sug-
-gests the consideration if those rights
have not lapsed from long neglect, and
this question, & indeed the general me-
-rits of the whole case I must beg to
submit to the opinion of the Board,
premising at the same time my opinion
that the Govt. rights have not lapsed
because there is no ...

... death of any of the ... have ever been brought ... responsibly of their ... In such cases I ... the arrangement is at best ... only to a favorable construction ... respect to ... circumstances; and ... this view I would merely submit my ... in favor of the entire resumption of the village and discontinuance of the ... ceremonies, leaving it to ... of the ... to alleviate the ... of the testator's brother ... pension of 60 rupees ... as a free gift, but not as ... any claim whatever.

... it is a ... in the ... on a monthly salary of 14 rupees. ... will be left in his ... of instructions from

the Board

Madura
8 January 1841

J. Blackburne
Collr

21

R

No. 15. Ent

To the Bd dated

26 Jany 1841.

Abstract. Rama-jatk poorum, alienated by the
-jah in A.D. 1755/56 was by the grantee partly
alienated to the Dinnacheer S[illegible]dur; – the alie
the last incumbent wills the surplus above
alienation to the payment in small portions, to a
annum) to her brother for maintenance, a
rest to establishment of a chuttrum. – Recom
mending entire resumption & leaving to Govt con
sideration the propriety of ~~allowing~~ remov
the harshness of the measure by a pension of
Rs [illegible] annum for life to the brother of the testatrix
is the only being suffered by the resumption. –

No 39

The Collector & Magistrate

Tinnevelly

Sir,

I had yesterday the honor to receive your letter of the 23d Inst, and cannot but consider the Minutes of the 22nd May 1835 as [illegible] of the adjudication of border disputes between Collectorates by the Officer whose principal station is nearest to the spot in dispute; and that any party objecting to his decision must have recourse to the Civil Courts. — If the disputed boundary be between the Co territories & independent or protected states then alone does it seem to me of sufficient importance to warrant a reference to Govt. — This ...

-ate is bounded by four others - the
-putes are by no means unfrequent
but may always be easily adjust-
-ed without reference to Govt. as
-vidend is not unfrequently the practice
-ed only with them but also with
the independent state of Pordoostey -
Neither does it seem necessary
or desirable to leave these disputes
to the [illegible] deliberate decision
of the Civil Courts - to leave the
-me in question undecided and un-
-scared for till their tardy decree
[illegible] be in common with [illegible]
-ould be the watchword for an
-offing that might cost many
lives - To prevent such is the
-ty of the Collector & Magistrate
[illegible] on the principles of the Minute
of the 22 [illegible] 1835 as the nearest
[illegible] the stolen dispute

I awarded the land respectively to
the parties whom I found had long
been in possession — In every stage
of the proceeding I endeavoured to
keep you aware of what I was doing
& when I had come to a decision
I forwarded it to you & begged your
co-operation. Was there any thing
in it at variance to Sect. XI of Reg.
V of 1822? — was there any thing
in it at variance with equity
I think not — yet you objected to
it as illegal & erroneous — I
endeavoured to persuade you to the
contrary, but failing the want of
success I have since approached
I begged you to offer any other
authorization for the safety of the
border States I mean, but upon possession
have continued in it — but you

content myself with finding
fault in the very proceedings
but will not do any thing your
-self to meet the difficulty - a
difficulty I humbly conceive aris
-ing only from the obstacles put in
the way by yourself. - If I did
depart from the course here
originally marked out for myself
I had still authority to do so - there
was nothing illegal or irregular
in taking the complaint of the
Courts rept rather than the [illegible]
-[illegible] and the course was more
simple & convenient - tho' the claims were not
of the Govt as proprietor overlooked.
but even supposing they had been
preserved, if as I then conceive then
not already suggested of taking
redress thro' the courts in
… application to Govt there the

Native officers in dec[illegible] 24 (15)
authority to interfere; & even if
you had authority to supervise any
decision of theirs not authorized by [illegible]
-tice it would hardly be set aside for
want of attention to forms as those
in Cl. 2 Sect XXI Reg. V 1827. Was
it not evident from the accounts
of your own office that not a cash
has ever been collected from the dis-
-puted land by the Co. since the as-
-sumption & consequently they deceived
me? I never intended to take [illegible]
& the land here: if it appeared by reason
the Company party if dissatisfied, to
seek redress in the Civil Courts. I
had no intention to infringe the rights
of Govt - ~~I did not~~ The decision did no
wrong to the rights of Govt -
The ~~right~~ authority I exercised, is
as I had hoped, tho' unfortunately [illegible]
without grounds, with the same full [illegible]

provision, concurrently vested in
before ordered by the Minutes of 22 May 1835
Ch 2 Sectn 51 Regt XI of 1816 in the
matter of Magistrate, and under
this explanation I trust you
will now permit me to expect your
Co-operation, or at least absence
of opposition, in the execution
of my Decision

J. W. Cockburn
Pl. Collr & Magte

Madura
27 January 1841

[illegible]
in relation to
Magistrate of
[illegible]
27 January 184[illegible]

No. 42

R 25

51

To
The President of
[illegible] Cangoonan

Sir
In answer to your letter of the
22d October last I have the honor to
forward copy of an address dated the
24th Instant, from the [illegible] of Tinnevelly
[illegible] in [illegible] that the [illegible]
claimed by the Ryots of [illegible]
[illegible] as [illegible]
[illegible] of [illegible] by the [illegible]
Sundrum [illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible]
of Seramanagungal, of the Zemindary
of Shevagungah, in which opinion
I fully concur in reference to the
permanent settlement account of
Fusly 1212 of the Zemindary in question
on my record [illegible] where it [illegible]
shown as [illegible] a revenue of [illegible]

[illegible] as
per accompanying Sketch

I have the honor to be Yr [illegible]

J Blackburn
[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

30th Jan [illegible]

No. 2 [illegible]
To the Resident of
[illegible]
January 4 18[illegible]

No 4

Revenue & Judicial Depᵗ

The Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St George 26

Sir,

1. I have the honor to forward a correspondence with the authorities of Trinomally regarding a border dispute as the Collector & Magistrate of that District will neither engage in any measures for preventing a recurrence of an affray nor take any measures himself.

2. The brief outline is this — Inhabitants of Ramnad Zemindary were settling and on a boundary in their possession in Cuddalore's [?] last, for which an exaggerated charge of ~~aggression was~~ [illegible] was made against them before the Collector of Trinomally, & as the head inhabitants appeared to be on the spot I accompanied

the his assumption of authority to in-vestigate the case &c. I had no doubt it ought to have been left to me; but in my letter to the Magistrate of Tinnevelly I warned him the case had every appearance of a border dispute. — The inhabitants of Ramnad recriminated upon their adversaries, charging them before the head ass^t magistrate of Madura with tumult & violence — and preferred a new charge of the culpable homicide of one of their party by the police officers & others of Tatoor Taloog in Tinnevelly when engaged in the investigation of the first charge. Meantime the Magistrate of Tinnevelly having been ordered to England the Joint Magistrate in charge stopped proceedings in the first on Ramnad charge — requested the same might be done with the one preferred in Madura[illegible]

was readily acceded to; — promised investigation of the charge of homicide and acquiescing in my opinion that the whole was properly a boundary dispute calling for a civil decision; the Superintendents of the two colonies made a careful investigation on the spot, but unable to come to a common decision I availed myself of the power resting with me in the principles of the Minute of the 22nd of May 1835; and on their full & sufficient enquiry passed my decision in favour of the Inhabitants of [illegible] boundary, which, however, went no further than keeping in possession those who long have held it to the best of my belief. — The enquiry appointed nothing [illegible] changed [illegible] my decision [illegible] [illegible], to

27

56

-ts against it & appears unwilling
to allow it to be enforced: — but neither
will he forbear any measure, as I have
invited him to do, for my concurrence,
nor take any steps to secure tranquillity
[on] the border till a decision is obtained
from the Civil Courts, but contents him-
-self with renewing his protest against
the illegality of my proceedings, and
with affirming that I am usurping
the rights of the Company.
3. As a possible fight between the
parties alone will be less injurious
than a collision between the two
Collectors I have desired the opera-
-tion of my orders of the 9th ultimo
[to] be stayed till the instructions of
[Su]pr[em]e are obtained

Madras
10th February 1841

(signed) [illegible]
[illegible]

24
157

Abstract Regarding correspon=
=dence with authorities in Travan=
=core respecting a border dispute
in which the Collector & Magistrate
of that district will not exert him=
=self nor allow me to take measures
for the ~~safety~~ of the tranquillity of
the border: supporting his [our] non-inter=
=ference & resistance to any interfer=
=ence on the Hon's line 22 May 1835.

No. 4 Entd

To the Secretary to
Govt dated 10th
Feby 1844

29

59

Memo:

The accompanying letter of the 8th Inst. regarding dismissal of the Kottangur of Cappilepatty is respectfully from the Board of Revenue is forwarded for perusal of the Sub Collr.

Madura 16th Feby 1841

J. Blackburne
Collr

R

accompanying letters and orders

To the Sub Collr
Dindigul dated
16 February 1844

No 36

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue in the department in public Works

Fort St George 30

Sir/

1. I have the honor to communicate the proposition of Cobbleyum Naick of Balsam drawn in Ziru-pully to build a chettram in the village of Cotthumpoorady in the same taloek at an expense of about 100 Rupees, partly from his own funds & in small part from sub-scriptions received & made over to him and trust the Board will be pleased to recommend the alienation of [illegible] waste land [illegible] repairs per annum, not [illegible] in [illegible] the bill of charges [illegible]

completion. —

The spot selected is on the Vi-
lutory Road [illegible] & between Dindi-
gul & Dharapooram in Coimbatore,
and where that road is crossed from
Pylony to Dharapooram. The road
is not much frequented, but it
is without any kind of accom-
modation, & will no doubt prove of
great utility — it is now three
three years that I have had it
in consideration how to procure
such a building in this place

From Dindigul to	M. Fur
Eddivenloy [illegible] miles	20.6
Coothanooran [illegible]	12.6
Dharapooram in Coimbatore	12.0
Road [illegible] Pylony to Coothanoor [illegible]	8.0

[illegible] Madura
[illegible] March 1805

J. Blackburn
[illegible]

3. As in all these occasions I would recommend a ready money payment of 60 Rs per annum in preference to alienation of tax to that amount in waste land.—

71

63

Abstract Submitting proposal to build a chuttr on at an expense of about 1000 Rs at Corthon-koondoo on Road No 1 with a view to future sanction of 60 Rs per annum for its support

No 8 — Tate

To the Secretary

to the Bd. of War &c in

the Dept of P. W

[illegible] March [illegible]

No 141

32

68

To

~~The Officer in charge~~

~~The [illegible] of the~~ Residency of

Tanjaour

Sir

In answer to your letter of the 12th Instant and its enclosures I have the honor to forward Copy of an address from the Tahsildar of Marrong aparoy dated 23 Jan [illegible] and of my order thereon from which I trust His Excellency the Rajah Tondiman Bahadur will feel satisfied that the Inhabitants of Poodoocottah have no right of interference with the land.

I have the honor to be &c.

Madura
16th April
1841

[illegible signature]
Principal Collr

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No 42

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to Endorse-ment on Petition No 477 of 1840 re-ceived with your letter of the 5th on the 19th Instant I have the honor to report that the Village of Zookal claimed by ~~the~~ Petitioners was granted ~~as~~ Sarvamaneum to their ancestors by Sesavurna Pareyaooday Taver one of the Zemindars of Shevagunga a long time ago for their support as watchers at the gate of the palace and enjoyed accordingly till fusly 1220 & subsequently a poroopoo of 10 fanams per Culum seed land was paid till fusly 1241 by the Petitioners. In fusly 42 the Zemindary was assumed & as the Village in question ~~was entered~~ in the Itinerary accounts ~~given by the Zemindar in fusly 26~~ as Aum

returned

quit rent

given by the Zemindar in fusly 26

it

it was also included in the assump-
tion. Some months afterwards Boada-
goorooswamy Pareya Vodaya Taver
the Zemindar, late~~ly~~ deceased ad-
dressed my predecessor Mr. Vivrash
requesting restoration of the Village
to Petitioners on right of possession
~~and obtained permission~~ on the
30th December 1832 ~~which was in force~~ till Fusly 44 when ~~the~~
~~petitioners~~ neglecting to attend to
the duty for which the Village
had been given them the same
Ex Zemindar ~~again resumed~~
reassumed it.

The Petitioners addressed
me on the subject and ~~received~~
~~by~~ Endorsement on the 2d April
1836 that the Zemindar refused
to restore the Village, when I
assumed the Zemindary in
May 1837 ~~the Village was~~
~~under the Zemindar~~ ~~kept~~
~~kept~~

I saw an ~~[illegible] it is then~~
~~[illegible] under my management~~

I have &c.

[illegible]
F. Co.

Madeira
23d April 1841

34

67

No. 42 Encl
to the B. Dated
23. April 1841.

No. 12.

The Principal Collector
of Tanjore

R

35

Sir,

1.– After examination by my Superintendent Manitry of the matter in dispute between the inhabitants of Saungaunoor in Tanjore & ~~[struck through: illegible]~~ their [illegible] -lie tenants, I passed my determination directing the dissatisfied party to seek redress in the Civil Courts, communicating that decision to you with the documents in which it was found-ed on the 14th March.

2.– In your letter of the 10th instant recd. yesterday, you [illegible]

[illegible] & propose to obtain of
before by the united wishes
[illegible] of the [illegible] of quarrel by
[illegible] two superintending minis=
=ters.—

3. My ministry is employed in
[illegible] important work west of the
place, which I also propose
[illegible] and which is about
[illegible] miles from the spot in dis=
=pute. There is no hope any
ministry will take a different
view of the subject on re-exami=
=nation; hence unless [illegible]
[illegible] your Ministry adopt his
[illegible] we are [illegible] far from a
decision ~~before~~ other than before.

But [illegible] be expected per=
sonal inspection will induce

your Ministry to adopt the
prices of mine as already com-
=municated to you, in which case
the present order might be allowed
to stand?

You are I believe within 20
or 25 miles of the spot - you have
before you the information on
which I acted; & if you will
go there yourself & take my docu-
=ments into consideration in your
decree in the matter, I willingly
consent to the cancel of my
own & upholding of yours what-
=ever it may be.

Or, if your people will give
a written consent to abide by the
decision of two out of a pan-
=chayat to be formed by your &
my subordinate Magistrates

I beg a third person in nomina-
-tion of a [illegible] the ~~choice of~~
nomination of whom shall be
left to you or me to be chosen by
lot. I will endeavour to get a
similar bond from the parties
this collectorate.
4. But the [illegible] within in your
letter holds out prospect of a final
settlement I would have ended
it with the Minister without his incur-
-ring the inconvenience it put
me to.

Medinee
15 May 1841

J. Blackburne
P. Coln

No. 170 Entered
To the Pl. Colln of
Zangole dated 16
May 1841

No. 149

37 75

[Se]cretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

For the Tundee Meer a[sse]y of Nodhumpalty in Ipenpully Talook in Dindigul a land Maneum of Rupees 10-10-7 per annum is entered in the Survey accounts; but the Meerasidar has never enjoyed greater than Rupees 3-4-2 but the inhabitants remunerated him by sotuntrums so long as their garden produce of the Village was high amounting to Rs. 971-6-4 per annum but now that it has fallen short by Rs. 600-13-4 from scarcity of water in the wells the Villagers discontinue their sotuntrums: his services cannot be dispensed with, he being the only servant of this description in the Village and I therefore beg to recommend at the request of the Sub Collector alienation of land deficient to amount of Rupees 7-6-7 per annum for the sanction of the Board of Revenue

I have ...

Madura
31st May 1843

R: ~~57~~ 190

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
in the Dept. of public works
Fort St. George

R

Sir

With reference to the Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 17th October 1839. I have the honor to report the completion of the Choultry at Chettrapatty by the Muttadar of Vailoor at a cost of Rs 1437-9-8 and to request permission for the appropriation of waste land to the value of Rups. 60 per annum for its support as sanctioned by Government on the 4th November 1839

Madura
4th June 1841

Shave &c

Boardstaunn

pe Collector

No 190 [illegible]

with [illegible] to the

Board of Revenue

on the Dept Off

dated 4" June 1841

No 7076 [illegible]

No. 60

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

R 39

I have the honor to forward for the Board's consideration a revised roll for the establishment from commencement of the current Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42, having in view their instructions of the 26th July 18[illegible] to keep it within the lowest possible bounds.

In the 4th Clause of the 2nd para of my report of the 31st August 1839 I intimated my great satisfaction with the integrity & activity of the sub collector & my hope that the Board would be prepared to support a recommendation for an increase of pay to him if he persevered

the same kind of account another year. — In their proceedings on that report ... dated the 26th of March 1840, they took no notice whatever of ... request, & I construing their silence into acquiescence, strongly urged an increase of him of 20 Rs a month from the 1st August 1840, in the 2nd Clause of the 2nd para: of my report of the 21st August of the same year on the settlement of the extra revenue for fusly 1249: — & I shewed an annually increasing salt revenue which had risen from 235,000 Rs in fusly 1245, to Rs 276,000 in the fusly under report, with my ... that it would ... increase: which, as yet continues to be borne ... fact. — In the 3d para: of their ... of the 1st February 1841 when

See appendix No

40

they took that report into consider-
-ation, the Board observe that my re-
-commendation for an increase of 20 Rs
a month to the Salt Zemindar is ~~good~~
grounded on "the heavy & responsible
"business discharged by him; & on the
"annual increase in the revenues under
"his charge; & because his pay is less
"than that of a Tahsildar collecting
"a smaller amount of revenue;" "&
declined supporting it, because Mr
"Vivash had fixed his pay at this
"height with express reference to the
"large amount of revenue which he
"had to superintend & to the extent of
"country from which it was derived."
Because it was impolitic to let "the
"mere circumstance of an increase
"of revenue having occurred during the
"administration of any p[...] in-
"dividual operate as a [...]
[...] augmentation of his

-stitution; because similar pleas might be urged in almost every dis-trict in the Presidency. — And they deemed, moreover, the application should be made when submitting suggestions for modification of the existing establishments with the new-al Mohiniudeen's returns. — And Govt. supported the views in the 3 para: of the [illegible] of the 5th March [illegible].

[illegible] answer to the Board's first objection, the 14th para: of Mr Vivash's letter of the 17th December 1831 [illegible] contemplates the amount of reve-nue to be collected by the Subt. Tahsildar at 2½ lacs of Rupees, de-rived from a coast 150 miles in length in a Zemindar's country. [illegible] his average collections are [illegible] not above more than [illegible] in his since imposed character

41

character of Superintendent of
Customs he collects an annual re-
-venue of about 11000 £, which ex-
-tends his duty over an extent of
90 miles of coast. — To this is added
payment of the convicts in working
the channel at [illegible]; and the
police duties of the whole time
that has been employed in [illegible] 13
[illegible] Viceroy's letter in 1831.
By their increase in responsibility and
increased personal labor as these
entitle him to favorable consider-
-ation for increased pay. I must
have made out the claim satis-
-factorily. —
It is not for me to dis[illegible]
Board's opinion of the impolicy
increasing the pay of an officer
a successful administration of
particular branch of [illegible] revenue

[illegible] of that reason viz: that the claims [illegible] such grounds would evidently be [illegible] impossible to be gratified; tho' I cer[illegible]tainly do continue to think that [illegible] is [illegible] usually reward to one [illegible] under whom any branch of revenue [illegible] increases, (provided he is [illegible] other respects a worthy man, & [illegible] not raised that revenue to the [illegible] of another branch, or of a neigh[illegible]bouring collectorate) can never im[illegible]poverish, or be even too onerous to the [illegible]. — But I am not aware that [illegible] advocated the increase of pay to [illegible] office, but to the individual; & [illegible] this point of view I will now beg [illegible] appreciate my recommendation [illegible] of Narsingh Rao. — If [illegible] had time to attend to the [illegible] individual subordinate officers [illegible] would recollect I am not [illegible] the habit of recommending

those newly employed through [illegible]
of [illegible] or that I fail to advocate
the claims of deserving servants as
they advance in age & ability.

42

... the Boards next objection
that the application should have
been originally made in its present
form, I can only plead error of
judgement, & I trust, if the Board
are now willing to support my re:
:commendation, they will not let a
poor & worthy man suffer because
I have sought to do him justice, &
shew him favor, tho' in an improper
channel: _ I hope the Board will
believe that if they had condescen
:ded to set me right when I [illegible]
:ed them of my proposed recommen
:dation on the 31st August 1839.
should not have hesitated to acqu[illegible]

the present [illegible] — It is true the
Board may say they could not guess
I did [illegible] design to adopt the pro=
[illegible] [illegible] but I hope they will
[illegible] that they had previously ac=
=ceded to my application similar=
=ly made on the 14th Feby 1835 for
increased pay to certain inferior
[illegible] servants, which has proved
in the result so beneficial; and
I [illegible], hope they will [illegible]
[illegible] a special sanction for pay=
=ing this increase of 20 Rupees a
month in arrears from the 1st May 1840.
To [illegible] any unforeseen objection
[illegible] Board I would beg you to
[illegible] their notice the standing
[illegible] for regulating the pay
[illegible] revenue servants, [illegible]
that

date the 26th [illegible] 1789, the 5th clause of the 3d para of which expressly [illegible] to the pay of a [illegible] of [illegible] Dept to Rs 150 p mensem, and the Board may be aware that after [illegible] years experience & perfect satisfaction of Nursingh Row's conduct on a pay of only 100 Rs a month, I do not even now recommend him for the highest rate of pay he is entitled under those rules if he had the fortune to obtain this favor, but only for 120 Rs a month, thereby putting him on an equality [illegible] the first of the two classes of [illegible]-dores.

3 — In [illegible] recommendation of an increase of [illegible] & Palancappa pitady [illegible] Shekurry Madura I beg leave to cite the clause of the 3d para of [illegible]

43

f for 2d August 1840. The increase
by the Sayer revenue over the pre-
ceding fusly 1248 is Rupees 17716
& shews no Deficit. — I do not sup-
pose the Board would wish me to
enter into detail of the relative
increase & decrease of each choky;
I will, therefore, content myself with
giving only those where the increase
or decrease is remarkable: & amongst
the first the custom choky of Madura
is pre-eminent. In fusly 1230, the
highest of the old Amany years, it
yielded only 16242 Rs. In 1246, the
highest year under rent, it more than
[illegible] that amount, as it actually
[illegible] yielded 13760 Rs, [illegible] the
[illegible] collections of 1248 were no less than
[illegible] the fusly under report
[illegible] amount to Rs 37384:—

"In 1838 there were only 1470 boxes,
"but they now amount to more than
"2000. — I believe this checking is quite
"free from frauds & tricks, & I am
"very anxious the Board will coun-
"tenance my desire to increase the
"pay of the Checkwaider, I believe appre-
"[illegible], from 28 to 35 Rs a month.
"He was appointed to the charge on
"the 12 July 1837 on re-instating(?) the term of
"the Company system."

4. — I scarcely venture to give weight
to my recommendation for an increase
of pay to [illegible] of from 3 to 3½
~~and from 2½ to 3½~~
rupees a month; & to the land [illegible]
and from 2½ to 3½
customs peons of from 1¾ to 3 Rs a
month. — I may be asked what
renders an increase necessary on
seeing they have never had [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] before(?) to say [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] were [illegible]

by their in sufficiency of their means to devote a portion of their time to their own cultivation or were on the alight to exact somewhat in addition to what they were entitled to levy, or countenanced, or winked at, frauds; but I verily believe such to have been the case, & I equally believe that acquiescence in my suggestion of an increase of pay will be productive of increased revenue to the Government & of increased convenience to all who have dealings with this class of people.

If any of the Board have ever been engaged in Committees for feeding [illegible] or beggars they will [illegible] to agree with me in thinking [illegible] a sufficiency can scarcely be [illegible] them at the rate of 3 rupees [illegible] per mensem, the proceeds for

five hundreds at a time & then not
economical mode that can be de-
-vised. — The lowest rate of hire of
a [illegible] peon is 3½ rupees per m-
-th the labours of the salt [illegible] are
except in those paying districts
for more [illegible] & [illegible]
-ed, & the price of food is much greater
on the coast than inland. —

5. In the 13th para: of the letter of the
November 1828 Mr [illegible] stated
the cause of introducing [illegible]
into the [illegible] establishment [illegible]
-ly adopted his view of the advantages
that ought to have resulted there-
-from, but could not help seeing
they [illegible] being so [illegible]
[illegible] ought to be. — I made
many enquiries & [illegible] my
inspections [illegible] as they are [illegible]
[illegible] class [illegible]

to look authorities, or
... superior authority, & their ...
... as now employed, are not equi-
... in their expense. To make
them really efficient their numbers
should be increased to 50 & their
... should be such as much ...
... should have a Regiment
attached to him, with 3 or 4 guns
to be furnished by the Tasil-
=dar. By the increased pay we
should tempt a class of men who
would be fitted equally for police
as well as revenue duties, & being
entrusted with the authority of a
Tahsildar under Regt XI of 1816, there
... to be a prompt attention to ...
... & police by an authority always
on the spot or nearly so – & time would
... be ... for the ...
sufferer they cannot get redress ...

-count of the distances of the Ludoh
inhabiting from their villages & the
probability of the Taisildar's absence 93
But as this plan 44 would be so
expensive for me to expect sanction
I content myself with seeking for
sufficient means control in
adopting the suggestion of the this
Sadar that the 36 kitchel ... should
be substituted by 70 Muth curcum
The written terms is known in this
collectorate yet the latter has the
advantage of being the vernacular
language of it & as the Muth term
is not to have any police authority
it may be as well to change it
if the Board think further the
-men being selected from the
and conversant with all the
frauds & tricks of their class

[illegible] be [illegible] keeping accounts
are expected to be sufficiently use-
-ful 1st in settling petty revenue dis-
-putes at the time of cultivation [illegible]
after [illegible] in the land being [illegible]
-ents from the impossibility of ap-
-plying to the Tahsildar in time;
2nd in attention to the means of ir-
-rigation & settling petty disputes
as to the quantity of water to be distributed
to each Ryot. — & in obliging the
Ryots to clear their channels in
proper time, these from obstinacy
habits constantly exposing them to
serious loss of water. — 3rd & a chief
duty, to inspect the cultivation and
[illegible] that all is duly entered by the
[illegible] [illegible] accounts — at present [illegible]
check on this point is an extra-
[illegible]nary decrease, — quarrel in the
[illegible] or an accidental discovery.
[illegible] was a principal duty expected [illegible]

47

96

from the collection [illegible] [illegible]

numbers [illegible] are [illegible]

are placed entirely [illegible]

[illegible] 4thly they are [illegible]

the payment [illegible] are duly entered

[illegible] [illegible] furnished by

the collector to each tax payer. — 5thly

they must [illegible] all ordinary [illegible]

[illegible] 6thly [illegible] that the [illegible]

[illegible] in their respective di-

:visions are carefully tended.

I purpose each shall have a [illegible]

-trict of land yielding about 15 [illegible]

[illegible] annum, & beg to [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] 2. particular; and in these divisions I

mean that all ordinary [illegible]

[illegible] be [illegible] by the [illegible]

[illegible] & that not as at present [illegible]

with each vestryman [illegible]

from his [illegible] [illegible], by which

means I hope to attain [illegible]

more [illegible] [illegible]

administration; relief to the Treas
during which ... ~~the~~ care
the people.
6. There is scarce a doubt that the
Zemindar of Burdwan will remain
his estate as soon as the close
of the accounts as possible & the
existing establishment must
be continued till that time. Another
Rubkar is prepared,
with a view to save the Board's &
Govt. trouble & a renewed considera
of the Board may think it advise
to save myself trouble, but with
to add the care of the Pergunnah changes
likes to take place simultaneously
time this day's date. The estate
comprises the greatest part of Bulla
of the establishment of that two
must cease & the remaining

under the Tondun of Thunkurray & chiefly under him of Tandyenibe the first has establishment suffic-ent for the additional duty - For the latter I beg an increase of two revenue peons. -

48

7. I think it would be highly im-prudent to abandon [struck out] charge of the police to the Collegue even if he were inclined to undertake it. The establishment at Batt-[illegible] is per mensem the large, it [illegible] I deem it prudent to propose for the locality of the estate under the hills, abounding in jungle & diffe-cult passes, & separating differ-ent portions of the Company's lands.

The 50 police peons for Thunapur-ay having been sanctioned, and

are only entered to make the Gunn[illegible]
Establish[ment] complete; which same
reason accounts for the entry of
the abolished situations; & the conse-
Lascar Serang & of the
quent abolition of the ^ Nellacotta [illegible]
establishment ~~at [illegible]~~ is above
adverted to —

[illegible]
P. Sec.

Madras
[illegible]
12 July 1804

Sir

I find I have omitted to give
the reason for recommending a
small increase to those who keep [illegible]
and a decrease to the others. It is
in accordance with the [illegible]
their integrity & ability.

Memo: of the average Revenue of 3 years & the Number of Naikwars proposed to be employed in each Talook

	Average Revenue of last 3 years	No. of Naik Peons proposed at 15000 Rs each No.	Pay
Madacalem	166705	11	77
Shivamunglee	268452	18	126
Maylore	171436	12	84
Tandicomboo	102636	7	49
Lyempilly	76519	5	35
Cheekooray	142935	10	70
[illegible]apoony	96722	7	49
8		70	490

Madure 12 July 1846

[illegible]

Brought forward ———— 148 - 12848 -

Deduct Establishments already abolished & to be abolished as soon as possible after 12th July 1841.

1 Tamil Gomastah	14 -
1 Hircarah	7 -
1 Native Doctor	17 8 -
2 Do at 10½ Rs each	21 -
	59 8 -
1 Sea Customs Chowkydar at Paumben incorporated with Head Peat	35 -
2 Sayer Chowkey Catcheries at Ramnad and [illegible]	205 -
38 [illegible] at 10 Rupees each	380 -

Nellacottah Total

Revenue Establishment	344 8		
Police Do	200 8	545 -	1224 8 -

Total reductions - - - 1235 0 -

Remaining Proposed Establishment from July 1251 per mensem — 11613 0 -

Less with the Total by Rupees — 6314 -

Madura 12th July 1841

[illegible]

J W Blackburne
P. Collr

51

56

57

Moyenzu [illegible] for [illegible] 1251 or [illegible]

Des [illegible] Mahar [illegible] Deduzed [illegible]

Establishment as submitted in my letter of the 17th July and Sanctioned by Government on the 15th August 1845 } 11316 6

Increase of Pay recommended to the Head [illegible] in my letter of the 18th June and sanctioned by Government on the 25th August 1837 [illegible] by Oversight [illegible] 70

Ditto — — [illegible] the 1st [illegible] Sanctioned by Government [illegible] on the 1st [illegible] 1846 — D° [illegible]

Posts at [illegible] sanctioned by Government [illegible] on the 31st January 1846 [illegible]

Total Police and Revenue Establishment [illegible] 11[illegible]

of every description as [illegible]

Additional [illegible]

Increase to [illegible] 180 to [illegible]

660 [illegible] at [illegible] 36 [illegible]

to the [illegible] 35 [illegible]

D° of [illegible] from [illegible]

D° of [illegible]

D° of [illegible]

116 [illegible] 2 20 8 —

[illegible] 12 34 —

24 [illegible]

of 14 [illegible] 2½ to 3 [illegible] — 23 10 — 26 14 —

70 [illegible] 14

each [illegible] of [illegible] 3 [illegible]

10 [illegible] 35 —

of [illegible]

An [illegible]

Police [illegible] 21

1 [illegible] 10

1 [illegible] 5

1 [illegible]

1 [illegible] 24 — 146 5

20 [illegible]

50 [illegible] of the [illegible]

[illegible] 11[illegible]

Total

Total amount of Establishment [illegible] 27[illegible] 18 —

with proposed additions —

Deduct the following reductions of Pay [illegible]

[illegible] 1281

~~Choukeydar of [illegible] from 14 to 10½ [illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~

~~D°~~ of Durgial from 17½ to 10½ [illegible] } 7 — }

D° of Py[illegible] from 14 to 10½ [illegible] 3 8 — } 10 [illegible]

Carried forward — — — 12 [illegible] 12348

No 63

52

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

1. On the 3d instant I had the honor to receive Extract from the Boards Proceedings of the 15th the preceding month, with copy of the 41st para: of a letter from the Court of Directors of the 16th December 1840, calling for my opinion of the effect the substitution of the [illegible] Maund for the [illegible] would have upon the salt trade, and beg

2. to state, I do not think it will in any way cause an increase or decrease of the sale.

2. Enclosed is copy of the opinion of the [illegible] opinion on the subject a

shown in his letter of the 26th February 1833 to the Board, in which I fully concur — and I conceive besides delivery by weight instead of measure would put an end to the complaints [illegible] of many frauds that may by possibility still exist. But it would entail the cost of scales & weights in each [illegible] & possibly a small [illegible] establishment, tho' I do not think that likely. —

The Madras Garce equals in Indian Maunds; — 400 Maunds one garce so that if the Govt prefer a half measure they can continue the receipts & delivery of salt by measurement as usual and merely substitute the maund for garce in the accounts to be [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Garce

53

Madura
20 July 1824

J H Blackburne
D° 24

105

Ent.d No. 63

To the Boar[d]
of Revenue &
21st July 184[illegible]

Enclosure

R

Para 6 of Mr Vincent's report dated 26th February ~~1844~~ 1833

Receipt and sales by weight appears the best because it ~~excludes the~~ excludes the inconvenience attending the great variation of bulk of which salt is susceptible the same quantity of salt in matter whether condensed as rock salt or flakey as the newly made salt for if the prices [illegible] weight same the same there is no uncertainty apparently attending it

No. 64 R 109

55

The Secretary to the Board

of Revenue

Sir [illegible]

With reference to the

Board's order on Petition

No. 488 of 1844 [illegible]

return [illegible] the

24th Ultimo, I have the

honor to [illegible]

inform [illegible]

that the parties [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

that the [illegible]

in [illegible]

[illegible]

that his [illegible]

of the [illegible] which [illegible]
have been sworn [illegible] by his
[illegible]
[illegible] before me
[illegible] yesterday
[illegible]

[illegible]
5th [illegible]
1741

J. Blackburn

No. 66.

R

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

56

Sir,

In answer to your letter of the 17th Instant forwarding Petition No. 348 of 1804 for further report I have the honor to state that the Petitioner is in possession of the original Deed of Sale for the land he claims executed by the Inhabitants of the village to Gowry Vallaba Peria Odeia Tauer one of the late Zemindars of Sheevagunga; and is also in the enjoyment of the land; but has on reference

to

to the order he stated to have been given him by the said Zemindar in relinquishing the land to him ~~possession~~ it was signed "Chokalingum= Poray" (literally assistance of a Deity of that name) and the Petitioner alleged it had been written by order of the Zemindar, by one of his Servants Chokalingumpilly- which was however, contradicted by two Goomastahs in my Office who ~~is~~ were formerly in the Service of the Zemindar, add: ing that the order in question had been passed by Mootoovadooga Saver father of the

... Zemindar, I held the wrong authority suspicious and maintained the Zemindar's right to Swamibogum — In reference to the accounts received from the Zemindar's Cutcherry, no Swamibogum appears to have been paid since the alleged alienation of the land — I have therefore ~~countermanded~~ directed the Ameen ~~my order to~~ ~~not~~ ~~to collect~~ ~~from~~ ~~fasting the Zemindar's right~~ to collect from Ramiah ... till receipt of instructions from the Board ~~of Revenue~~ on the Petition ~~which~~ is herewith returned — I have &c

Madura
27 Aug. 1841.

J. Blackburne
Colr.

[illegible]

No 71

58

The [illegible]

[illegible]

R

17

Sir

For the ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] Village in [illegible] [illegible] Talook a [illegible] Cawnies of Poonjah Land [illegible] Chuckrams 15-3-3 was given in the settlement of Fusly 1217 which is now found quite insufficient for his support I beg therefore to recommend for sanction of the Board of Revenue a further grant of [illegible] Cawnies taxed at Chuckrams 17-3-3 [illegible]

For the [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] in the Fusly [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ but as ~~the~~ [illegible] are indispensable I beg to propose appropriation on this account of $9\frac{15\frac{3}{4}}{16}$ Cawnies of Poonjah Land taxed at Rs 23-5-5-[illegible]

This recommendation I am aware is opposed to the ~~[illegible]~~ instructions of the 19th March 1838 which discountenances if altogether but when it is considered that [illegible]

district ~~[illegible]~~

by 12d ... more ... than the 14 Cow...

of ... the ...

terms ... now ... the average of

three years ... £16 Crowns

... the ... is ... monthly

... on the receipt ... of ...

... from the

... the Board

... the alteration ...

proposed -

I have the ...

... W Blackburn

... D.C.

... Aug 1841

No 71

... the Board ...

Augt 1841

No Political Dept.

The Secretary to Govt.
Fort St George

59

R

Sir

On the 20th Ultimo I had the honor to receive the order of Council of the 17th of the same month directing report on the state of Comandawny Rajah to the Coylas Government [illegible] and beg to state that [illegible] the pretensions of the present [illegible] of Soobramany Rajah [illegible] Ungata[illegible] Rajah [illegible] the present [illegible] is not of that [illegible] degree of consanguinity that [illegible] [illegible] in his petition to which [illegible] [illegible] no longer [illegible] and [illegible] [illegible] not entitled to any [illegible] [illegible]

61

121

R

Colonial Secretary's Office
Colombo August 1844

No. 34

Sir

I am directed by his Excellency the Governor to transmit to you the accompanying petition from Comarasawmy Naicker praying that a separate allowance may be granted to him from the Pension of Soobbosawmy Rajah son of the late Ungalasawmy Rajah, and to request that with the sanction of the Right Honorable The Governor in Council you will be good enough to cause the proper officer to furnish a report on the Petition for his Excellency's information.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

(signed) P. Anstruther

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

No. 1614.

From the Colonial Secretary
to Columbo.
dated 6th
Received 15th August 1811.
with an Enclosure

Transmits a petition from
Commarasawmy Naick
praying that a special
allowance may be granted
to him from the Pension of
Comarasawmy Rajah & requests that this Govt will direct the proper
officer to furnish a
report on the petition for
the information of the Ceylon
[illegible] order

order that the foregoing
letter be referred for the
report of the Prinl. Collector
of Madura
By order
Fort St. George (signed) Richard Clerk
17th August 1811. Secretary to Govt.

(signed) J. B.

Recd 20th August (signed) J. B.

No 326

The British Commissioners

Gentlemen

The copy of your letter of the

10th inst. [illegible] to a com-

munication from the Minister

of H.B.M. the British [illegible]

[illegible] of the release of that

State to a piece of Land in the

exchange of Prisoners in the

Prisoners who were taken

[illegible]

[illegible] any me I might be-

[illegible] to this Step. I have the

honor to request you to

inform me what is to be [illegible]

[illegible] of the meeting.—

R 63

[illegible] and as soon as [illegible]
be the [illegible] accounts of [illegible]
[illegible] and [illegible] to the [illegible]
[illegible] in the [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible] you [illegible]
[illegible] were [illegible] 1200 but it [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] of your [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] brought [illegible]
[illegible] now [illegible]
for you as I [illegible]
[illegible] in the [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St George

R

69

Sir!

1. On the 22nd Ultimo I had the honor to receive your letter of the 12 of the same month, forwarding copy of Act No. XX of 1840 in force in Bengal, & calling for my [illegible] how far its provisions are applicable to this Presidency, with a view to its substitution for such parts of Regn V of 1822 of the Madras Code as provide for the settlement of disputes regarding possession of land &c by the Magistrate [illegible] requiring a return of summary [illegible] decided under the provisions of the same Regulation for the last ten years, with the name of the [illegible] average time elapsed between their institution and decision and of the [illegible] undecided at the end of each year.

2. Sections XVI to XXIII excepted Section XX and XXI both inclusive of Regn V of 1822 of the Madras Code provide for the interference of Collector & Magistrate in all such disputes [illegible] to the exercise of the higher [illegible] [illegible] Judge.

[illegible] reasons [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]

...ority where the dispute was regarding lands or ... running a boundary between two contiguous juris... ...tions was remedied by ... Reg... of the 22 ... 1835 which declared such disputes ... Zillah Court & ... Reg. XV of 1816 ... ... which ... ... it ... ... usual ... ... was ... ... in ... ...

... ... aided by Reg. III of 1831 ...

... the higher degrees of riot & tumu... ... XVII XIX ... blasphemy and Sec. VIII ... XXXII ... ... 1816 providing for punishment of ... Reg. XV of 1816 ... ... degrees ... ... certain ... ... of Reg. V of 1822 as ... ... all sufficient for the maintenance ... the peace & for protection & prompt relief to ... injured — ... ... it appears that ... ... the Magistrates, tho' ... ... the Governors & the Governed; is altogether ... ... the powers entrusted to ... ... between the aggrieved & the ...

I will proceed to ... ... the ... of ... ... as proposed for substitution & ... the different regulations ... to me capable of ... ... regulations and in for...

...Feb ... 1841.

"II. Where ... is ... certified the ... must consider only ... [not] the right of ... possession.

65

"III. Where a Magistrate cannot make either ... as to the ... can attach.—

provisions of the ... the Bengal enactments are not

By Reg. X of 1822 the Collector ... ... not only to ... on the fact of possession, but the ... of possession, which, where the Collector & Magistrate are always one & the same person, seems to me a great ... over the Bengal ... [for] the Madras Code the Magistrate ... administer both Law & Equity. But the Bengal Code has ... ... enforcement of the law.—

This enactment of the ... seems in reference to ... things that ... where the Collector & Magistrate ... more distinct personages. The it is difficult to conceive a case upon the fact of possession by different parties is too doubtful to be decided upon by a magistrate, still the Bengal code has the advantage in providing for ... that where it is so entirely doubtful would it not be better, as in Madras, to let the Magistrate have the power of giving possession to which ever claimant he ... leaving ...

Dispossession ... of the Magistrate may ... possession provided ... plaint ... made ... month ... the ...

having ... [illegible] of ~~[illegible]~~ ... order of the ... gistrate ... [illegible] days after ... to have been contrary to ...

By the Madras ... the ... Magistrate can ... possession, restore ... case ... the ... value of produce ... which seems to me ... advantage ... in favour of the ... code.

... the Bengal code ... not ... liable to ... for his acts of violence ... owner of a ... the ... water ... his wasted crop, ... wasted produce ... for the ... by the ... and ... of the water from his ... a civil ... probably ... the ... of subsistence by ... the means of again cultivate ...

14

Further, unless the [illegible] [illegible]
by [illegible] [illegible] are [illegible] the [illegible]
[illegible] successful [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] the sec [illegible]
[illegible]
-gal Code: [illegible] under Sec. II the
Magistrate is bound to maintain
possession the successful [illegible]
against the [illegible] rightful [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] lost his [illegible]
before 32 days elapsed [illegible]
one month in the date of [illegible]
the complaint: and [illegible] may be
[illegible] [illegible] not too [illegible] from
the Magistrate's office of the [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] & ignorant [illegible] who
could not be expected to [illegible]
[illegible] not in this particular
[illegible] both for [illegible] from the [illegible]
-ative village or talook [illegible]
-rity who [illegible] set [illegible] [illegible]
-more [illegible] other matters.

Shd it be determined to [illegible]
the [illegible] Code [illegible]
-tate [illegible] [illegible] for
one month it shd be [illegible]
that [illegible] [illegible] into [illegible]
of possession [illegible] shd [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible]

... the claim
... newly formed land
the Magistrate may
... it according to
... notions of equity.

Sec. VI. Gives per...
Magistrate power
[illegible]

Sec. VII. Empowers
... to punish
contravention of
proportion to the
... above cases
... imprisonment
not exceeding
months; or by fine
exceeding 200 Rs; ac...
commutable to a further
months imprisonment
... or by fine
[illegible]
Sec. XII.

"might admit" — and the Magistrate's discretion might be limited to ~~six~~ months.

This provision of the Bengal [illegible] is [illegible] — and particularly regarding the [illegible] omission of the European authorities to have cognizance ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] newly formed in [illegible] [illegible], as for instance in newly formed islands in the Godavery, [illegible]

This is a new provision in the Bengal Code & gives distinct authority to a Magistrate to do that which he always enquired he had [illegible] do under the general regulations in force [illegible] when the [illegible] either in [illegible] individual. By cl. 5 Sec. XVIII of Reg. IX of 1816 a Magistrate can punish a certain [illegible] his authority to extent of 15 days [illegible] [illegible] or 50 Rs fine; or in cases of [illegible] [illegible] the fine may be increased to 200 Rs. By other Clauses of the [illegible] the Magistrate can award [illegible] fine & imprisonment [illegible] to be enforced till the receipt of the [illegible] [illegible] under Sect. XIX of the same Reg.

[illegible] Code all

an appeal in the usual manner in all cases to a Magistrate's acts

Magistrate are open by [illegible] to the consideration of [illegible] of Circuit

Sect IX. If the parties agree [illegible] Magistrate may refer the cases to arbitrators whose decision is of the same force as if passed by the Magistrate himself.

By [illegible] Sec XV of [illegible] 1822 Collectors have the power of referring [illegible] district [illegible] under [illegible] VII of 1816, & [illegible] to arbitration on the consent of both the parties.

Sec X. This act not to affect any legal securities.

The Madras Code does not seem [illegible] sufficiently to provide this restriction.

" XI. Confines the provisions of the act to the limits of Fort William.

A specific enactment [illegible] not seem to be found [illegible] Madras Code.

My objections to the substitution of the Bengal [illegible] for the Regulations in force in Madras are; that the former does not provide punishment for breach of the peace in the acts of dispossession; 2d that it does not allow [illegible] a reasonable time for the preferring the complaint; 3d that in leaving recovery of losses & [illegible] to the Civil Courts alone it does not afford [illegible] [illegible] justice to the aggrieved by [illegible] [illegible]; 4th that it does not [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Parties dividing [illegible] [illegible] jurisdiction [illegible] [illegible] & that if [illegible] Powers in a [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

518

[illegible] unsignificant [illegible] in which it appears [illegible]
[illegible] over the Madras Regulation [illegible] 9

cannot think it a sufficient ground to convey [illegible] extension of the provisions [illegible] in as far [illegible] probably ~~[illegible]~~ fit in a short time it is to be expected [illegible] publication of the ~~[illegible]~~ General Code for the whole of India.—

5. The subjoined abstract will it is hoped afford all the information required by the Board in the [illegible] of their Letter.

Suits filed under Reg. V of 1822 for the last ten years

Year	Remaining unsettled at end of the preceding year	Filed	Total	Disposed of	Remaining unsettled at close of the year in which filed	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1831		2	2	1	1	Of the 91 Cases in Col. 5 6 were disposed of in One Month 10 in two Months 4 in three Months 8 in four Months 3 in five Months 1 in six Months & 2 in Eight Months
1832	1	3	4	4	..	
1833	..	..	..	..	..	
1834	..	8	8	7	1	
1835	1	16	17	17	..	
1836	..	2	2	2	..	
1837	[illegible]	4	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
1838	[illegible]	16	16	16	[illegible]	
1839	..	23	23	23	..	
1840	..	15	15	[illegible]	3	
	..	94	[illegible]	91	3	

Under the other provisions of the Regulation [illegible] filed [illegible] have been [illegible]

[illegible] 1840

[illegible signature]

Abstract to Letter No 76 of 7th Septem-
ber 1841 to the Board of Revenue
Detailing objections to proposed sub-
stitution of provisions of ~~Reg~~ Act No 1 of
1845 in force in Bengal, for ~~those of~~
~~certain~~ parts of Regt V of 1822 of the Madras
Code in regard adjustment of disputes for
possession of land, water &c.
Submitting ~~document~~ return for
the years of suits disposed of under Section
of Regn V of 1822

136

66

No 76

To the Bo[illegible]

of Revenue [illegible]

Dated 17th Sept

1841

En[illegible]

69

The Secretary of the Board of Revenue

Fort St George

R.

(15)

Sir,

With my letter of the 6 May 1836 I forward copies & translation of ... to the disbanded ... of Ramnad ... the Board's letter of the 23rd ... 1835 ... I have ... the honor to forward a statement of the different ... into their ... of these lands ... by ... sale ... that you will obtain the Board's instructions ... the above ...

[illegible]

Sir [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]ragant [illegible]

[illegible]septembre

1741

9

[illegible] the [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] number [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

Then [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

of [illegible] of the [illegible] north [illegible]

[illegible] in [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] north of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

6. [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]

for the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] a [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] ; whilst [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] made by the disbanded Sepoys of Remnant of Lands granted to them under Permanent [illegible]
[illegible] dated 2[illegible] 180[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	Present incumbents	Their relationship with the original holders	[illegible]		[illegible] original holder		By the transferee and to whom	Cawn	Gr	Remarks
				Cawn	Gr	Cawn	Gr				
[illegible] mahomed [illegible]	Savadar	Mahomed Jaffer = kan	Son	12	"	27	7½	Cabeedu [illegible]chetty	17	11	Originally mortgaged to [illegible] transferred [illegible]
								Syed Nusam	16	7½	
								Jamesamunnaul	10	"	
								[illegible] khan	8	"	
									52	2½	
[illegible]moy [illegible]	Sepoy	Mahomed Jaffer = kan	Son in law	12	"	5	8¾	Seevramunchetty	6	7¼	
[illegible]	D°	[illegible]		12	"	6	"	Cusup Veeraman	6	"	Originally [illegible] mortgagee [illegible]
[illegible]	D°	Not known	Son	12	"	8	5½	Ramesamynaick	1	10½	Mortgaged
								Ramasamypandit	1	1½	D°
								Teepan		14½	D°
									3	9½	
[illegible]	D°	Jackel naik	Father in law	12	"	7	8	Soamsoondrumpillay	4	8	D°
[illegible]	[illegible]	Coopoosamy naik	Son	20	"	10	8	Casu Veeraman		13½	D°
								[illegible]	1		D°
								[illegible]		1½	D°
									2	7¾	
								[illegible]	1		
									9	8	
[illegible]	Sepoy	Abdul Cader		12	"	8		[illegible]pillay	4	"	Mortgaged
[illegible]	Havildar	Dadoo	Son	24	"	14	5	[illegible]	4	"	[illegible]
								[illegible]		15½	D°
									4	15½	
[illegible]	Sepoy	Mahomed [illegible]	Wife	12	"	"	"	Syed [illegible]	12	"	[illegible]
[illegible]	Sepoy	Chinnaian [illegible]		12	"	"	"	[illegible]	11	"	[illegible]
[illegible]	Havildar	Govindapanaik	Son	24	"	9	"	Syed Ibram	15	"	[illegible]
[illegible]	Sepoy	[illegible]	"	12	"	"	"	[illegible]chetty	12	"	D°
				244	"	102	1½		141	2½	

23d September 1821

[illegible]

=[illegible] and the [illegible]
[illegible] to have been the officers [illegible]
[illegible] of the [illegible] of [illegible]
similar purposes in the [illegible]
[illegible] to [illegible] the [illegible]
stations of [illegible] equally [illegible]
out of the [illegible]
and this [illegible] of the [illegible]
[illegible]
the Treasury [illegible]
=[illegible] in good [illegible] the [illegible]
=position of the [illegible]
the funds of the [illegible]
to defend the [illegible] of a [illegible]
=[illegible] the [illegible] to [illegible] =
[illegible]
otherwise.

6. For [illegible] the [illegible]
=ing officers [illegible]
the [illegible] officers [illegible]
[illegible] of the [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] should be [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

warm[illegible], of which [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] for the ultimate purpose [illegible]
be filled up by the [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] will then be
succeeded by [illegible] [illegible]
default in [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
the requisition of any [illegible]
8. The [illegible] in the [illegible]
of Ravenna & [illegible] have now
been under the [illegible] of the [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] requisition
[illegible], therefore, [illegible]
[illegible] in [illegible] but to leave them
[illegible] [illegible]
remain in the hands of the [illegible]
and to restore them [illegible]
[illegible] either [illegible]
[illegible] as the [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]

15

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] as follows

[illegible] Stuyvesant's 3 [illegible] [illegible] I notice [illegible]

the information which you [illegible]

[illegible] of your letter of the [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the ~~[illegible]~~ settlement

[illegible] continuance of the [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] paid by £211 [illegible] 1250 the [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] revenue accounts [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] surplus: [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] 1822 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

10 In answer to the 2nd para: of your [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] September [illegible] [illegible] hardly

necessary for me to repeat the [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] I regarded [illegible]

[illegible] to [illegible] [illegible] to [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

75

156

they were expatiated on. The first
question was [illegible] was to [illegible]
properly bound to oblige [illegible] the
[illegible] of the [illegible] at the [illegible]
justification without affording
any rule for future guidance
the Board contented themselves with
intimating that I alone of all the
collectors in the service had put
the interpretation I did on this
communication [illegible] of the
Court of Directors of the 18 October 1837 -
The [illegible] for [illegible] collectors
[illegible] unsubstantiated [illegible] and
such occasions. The [illegible]
[illegible] of the first measure [illegible]
[illegible] to withhold any [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] of the Board [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] said [illegible] to order

[illegible] in system [illegible] which there

[illegible] having any

[illegible] communicating [illegible] from the [illegible] to the

[illegible] a reform [illegible] the [illegible]

The 2nd question [illegible] of the whole

whole

~~[illegible]~~ revenue of the ~~[illegible]~~

[illegible] endowments [illegible] to [illegible]

[illegible] the [illegible] or [illegible] the Commissioners

[illegible] fixed by the [illegible] and [illegible]

[illegible] be [illegible] by [illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible] Board [illegible] sustain

[illegible] reference to [illegible]

[illegible] functions of [illegible]

[illegible] nature [illegible] to be [illegible]

[illegible] whole spirit [illegible]

[illegible]

No 30   Poll Dept

The Chief Secretary to Govt

Fort St George

Sir/

In returning Petition No 5014 1061 recd with my Endorsement of the 12th ultimo I have the honor to refer you to my letter of the 21st May and to state briefly that on the pension of 140 Rs a month being confirmed to Soobasawmy Rajah on the death of his father, a portion of it, viz 28 Rs a month, was set apart by my Predecessor, under the sanction of the Madras Govt to be separately paid to Rungasawmy Rajah, one of his relatives: On the

17th October 1839 Petitioner's obtain
ed an increase of 33 Rs per month
to his pension of 140 :— On his
re[illegible] governing Rajah's application to
[illegible] of the benefit I con=
sidered it just to support this
claim; & under date the 29th [illegible]
[illegible] accordingly a [illegible]
[illegible] Rs 4 [illegible] from the 33
Rs was sanctioned by H. M. Go=
=vernment in England

J. [illegible]
[illegible]

Madras
[illegible]
25 October 1841

Revᵘᵉ Department
1841
No. 1
From the Jaffna
Collector of Manaar
dated 25ᵗʰ October 184[illegible]
Received —

Abstract. Return
Petⁿ No. 501 of 184[illegible]
and showing under
what circumstances
Rd 6.9.7 [illegible]
paid to the [illegible]
Rajah out of the
Ceylon Revenue [illegible]
Forbearing Rep[illegible]

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

R [illegible]

76

Sir

Your ~~letter~~ [illegible] of the 11th In-
=stant desiring copies of the Stand-
=ard Hookumnamah and the Land mea-
=sure at present in force might
be furnished at our earliest con-
=venience, ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
was only received by me yester-
=day.

16

As there has been no change
in the cultivation Hookumna-
=mah since the one I forwarded
with the translate on the 15th July
1835 I beg leave to refer you to
it, & have therefore to inform

[illegible] i kostbysiarnak, [illegible]

[illegible] 13 [illegible]

[illegible] P: 61 [illegible]

[illegible]

79

The state of [illegible]

[illegible] passed by the [illegible]

of Madras & [illegible] village [illegible]

[illegible] village of [illegible] village [illegible]

the [illegible] of [illegible] in the Taluk

of [illegible]

163

The [illegible] of the above

[illegible] has [illegible] an [illegible] dated 20th Sept 18[illegible]

accompanied by the ~~[illegible]~~ Proposal, [illegible]

and ~~[illegible]~~ agreement [illegible] by [illegible]

[illegible] which you request that 5 $\frac{74}{16}$ Cawnies of

land in the above village [illegible] 25 years

[illegible] 35 [illegible]

[illegible] 20 [illegible] may be given to you [illegible]

and that at an [illegible] of 50 Rupees you

will ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] well ~~[illegible]~~

cultivate the land [illegible] with [illegible]

[illegible] assessment for 4 years [illegible]

[illegible] 1243 to 1246 [illegible]

[illegible] 124[illegible] [illegible] assessment [illegible]

[illegible] that at the same time

[illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]

[illegible]
the column [illegible] (classification of soil) and [illegible] assessment of the Land in question. It is therefore determined to grant you this [illegible].

This [illegible] for the [illegible] in question, in the abovesaid Village, situated south of [illegible] No 941, east of [illegible] No 943, west of [illegible] garden No 935, and north of [illegible] No 357, [illegible] years waste, [illegible] 35 fanams per [illegible] 20 fanams, [illegible] according to the [illegible] accounts [illegible] 5 $\frac{7\frac{1}{2}}{16}$ or [illegible] 2 $\frac{5\frac{3}{4}}{16}$ [illegible] was 19 $\frac{13\frac{3}{4}}{16}$ and [illegible] 9 $\frac{1}{16}$ fanams, is granted on the following terms.

$\frac{2}{16}$ tax for [illegible]	124		$13\frac{10}{16}$ fan[illegible]
$\frac{1}{4}$ do	44		$27\frac{4\frac{1}{2}}{16}$ do
$\frac{1}{2}$ do	45	5 [illegible]	$4\frac{8\frac{1}{2}}{16}$ do
$\frac{3}{4}$ do	46	8 do	$1\frac{12\frac{1}{2}}{16}$ do
Full assessment from [illegible]	47	10 do	$9\frac{1}{16}$ do

Whether ~~[illegible]~~ you cultivate it [illegible] is [illegible] in [illegible] ~~the [illegible]~~ ~~[illegible] tax as above~~ you will pay

in full
the annual assessment ~~to the [illegible]~~
according to the ~~[illegible]~~ instalments
and you will moreover lodge in the [illegible]
records an account showing the expense
you are put to in reclaiming the land
& cultivating it with tobacco. In case you fail
to pay the assessment and allow it to fall
in arrears, your property in value equivalent
to the amount of arrear will, according to
Regulations, be sequestered & sold by Outcry
~~and~~ the arrear recovered. If in cultivating
~~of~~ the Cowle land ~~[illegible]~~ thus received
~~your Sheth pottah~~ falls short of that
~~[illegible] of your Puttah~~ of Fusly 1242, or if
~~that~~ instead of ~~[illegible]~~ cultivating the ~~land~~ it
other
yourself you give it to Puttah ryots and
short
their cultivation ~~falls~~ of their Puttahs,
an
~~of~~ Fusly 1242, ~~[illegible]~~ assessment
equal to the full amount of that year pottah
~~to make up deficiency~~ will be levied on
the Cowle land & Cowle assessment [illegible]
~~land of the Ryots~~
If in cultivating the cowle land thus received
cultivation of your Puttah land falls short [illegible]
your Puttah of Fusly 1241, & if instead of [illegible]

[illegible] it [illegible] you give it to [illegible]

[illegible] with [illegible] of their [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] equal to the [illegible]

[illegible] of those [illegible] (or [illegible])

[illegible] on the [illegible] to [illegible]

[illegible]

Memo of Hookomnamahs &c issued by Mr Blackburne from 1835 to 1841 and submitted to the Board of Revenue by Mr Commissioner Drury

91 (6)

Hookoomnamahs to Silladars
dated 21st Feby 1835

" To the Madacolum Tasildar
dated 31st January 1835

A Proclamation dated 9 Feby 1839

A Notification dated 2d Jany 1841

An order to the Hoozoor Goomastah Vencut Row deputed to the Thencurray Taloo on Jamabundy duty
dated 30 April 1835

A Notification dated 11 March 1840
to the Curnoms

A letter from Mr Blackburne
to the Bd of Rev dated 29 Octr

27 May 1847 — No 88

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

R [illegible] Sir

1. — In obedience to the orders [illegible] Petition No. 5 [illegible] 1362 of 1823 herewith returned [illegible] yesterday I have the honor to state that in July 1 [illegible] 1825 Mr Collr Peter [illegible] the [illegible] of [illegible] 102 [illegible] of [illegible] land [illegible] at Rs 593 - 15 - 1 [illegible] out of the petitioner's estate, which was under attachment [illegible] which he incurred [illegible] after his death [illegible] the lands [illegible] as above stated [illegible] [illegible]

... authorization ... ...
... No. 3 of this ... ...
making up to the end of last year:
... an aggregate of Rupees 5331. 9. 10,
... the Petitioner is entitled to ...
... ready to adjust the
accounts & pay the arrears, which
... at present for

←	8378. 5. 2
	1583. 0. 8
	9961. 5. 10
... Mamum	5331. 9. 10
Net balance due	4629. 12. 0

... I ... for ...
no means of procuring but ...
loans.

... It is but ... these circum-
stances have come to my ...
... I ... ... to ...
... to ... for the ...
information ... was ...

to give them a proper answer
respecting all those sequester-
-ed Pollipurs:— And I [illegible] now
beg them to give their atten-
-tion to this subject as I am
of opinion the alienation so
irregular & improper & the at
the same time I must [illegible] before
these Cavilgars or a similar
body of peons are highly neces-
-sity, may nearly render [illegible]
to the security of that part of
the country.

J. Whitehill

Madras
9th [illegible]

[illegible]

To the Boar

of Reconc

Dated 19th No

18[illegible]

The Principal Collector

of

[illegible]

173

... the power to grant ... will release to the former ... parts of the free gift ... of Irsanarasinpatty & the ... lands of Pillapottiam ... appertaining to Varunapa Moodeliar ... in the Pernapetty ... in the ... of Madura ... so ... as to ... compliance with my request of the 17th January last.

Madura
... Decr 1841

... Blackburne
...

No. 417

The Collector
of Tinnevelly

85

175

R

Sir

I have the honor to request
you will release to the former
occupant the lands endowed upon
Thirupa[illegible] Moodeliar [illegible]
the Meenatchee Pagoda in the [illegible]
of Madura which you were so
good as to sequester in compliance
with my request of the 14th of last
January

[illegible]bourne
D. Coll.

Madura
[illegible] 1801

No. 457

To

The Principal Collector
of [illegible]

Sir

In answer to your letter of the 23d. ultimo I have the honor to forward copy of an address from the karnam of Annavasal Cusbah dated the 4th instant in which you will observe that the surplus water of Vengeewaiyel village was allowed to pass into the tanks of Saiffawaiyel ~~[illegible]~~ in Puttoocottah Talook.

I have the honor to be Sir

J Blackburne
Principal Collr

Madura
8 Dec
1811

177

No. 103 R 85 179

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir, Fort St. George

To the Potagar of Avani [illegible] persons in Vadacadum Taluk was allotted at the settlement in July 1822 a Maniyam of Bagayet land measuring 7 13/16 Cawnies taxed at Rupees 19-14-3, which however has never since been cultivated, but the Potagar ~~[illegible]~~ performs his duties ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ receiving one fanam per 10 [illegible] from the ryots. The allowance [illegible] is [illegible] and as his services are ~~[illegible]~~ indispensable, ~~[illegible]~~ they be recommended for sanction ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] [illegible] Cawnies 3 4/16 taxed at about Rs 19-13-4 of Bagayet

... [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ when
in any [illegible] wish for cultivation

I have the honor to be Sir
[illegible]
J H Blackburne
Principal Coll...

Madura
~~16 November~~
1841

Large lengthy

20 December 1841
20

To the Board of
Revenue dated
20th Dec 1841

Inst 8 No 183

No 450

The Principal Collector
of Taxes
[illegible]

I have the honor to forward
copy of an extract from the [illegible]
[illegible] of Summonad of the 15th [illegible]
complaining of Rights in [illegible]
[illegible] taking undue possession
of the original documents of the
authorities in establishing [illegible]

J Blackburne
P. [illegible]

[illegible]

1450

[illegible] the [illegible]

Lampoin [illegible]

bale a [illegible]